European Mosquito Bulletin, 3 (1999), 1–11.

Journal of the European Mosquito Control Association

ISSN1460-6127

Identification keys of the mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) of Continental Portugal, Açores and Madeira

Henrique Ribeiro¹ and Helena Cunha Ramos²

¹ Unidade de Entomologia Médica, Departamento de Parasitologia, Instituto de Higiene e Medicina Tropical, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Junqueira 96-1300 Lisboa and ² Centro de Zoologia, Instituto de Investigação Científica Tropical, Junqueira 14-1300 Lisboa, Portugal

Introduction

An annotated checklist of the mosquitoes of Continental Portugal was published by Ribeiro et al. (1988), recording 40 species and subspecies belonging to 7 genera.

In the present paper Aedes eatoni (Edwards) and Culex hortensis maderensis Mattingly, endemic to the Madeira Islands, and Culiseta atlantica (Edwards), endemic to the Açores Archipelago (Azores) are included, so that the keys are valid both for the Continent and the Islands. Although Ae. aegypti (L.) has not been found in Portugal since 1956, and Ae. albopictus (Skuse) has not been recorded from Portugal, these species are included in the keys because of their potential presence.

A total of 45 species and subspecies, arranged in 15 subgenera and 7 genera are included in the adult and larval keys. In addition, keys to the larvae and pupae of the *Anopheles claviger* (Meigen) complex and to the eggs of the *An. maculipennis* Meigen complex known to occur in Portugal are also given. From our experience, the egg is the only stage that exhibits reliable morphological characters for the separation of these sibling species.

In the An. maculipennis complex, An. subalpinus Hackett & Lewis is treated as a valid species, according to Ramos et al. (1982) and as acknowledged in the last supplement of the Catalog of the mosquitoes of the World (Ward, 1992). Allozyme electrophoresis studies of the Portuguese members of the complex are being carried out. An. cinereus Theobald is considered to be a polytypic species, with the Afrotropical nominal subspecies and the Mediterranean hispaniola (described by Theobald from Spain and the Canary Islands), as proposed by Ribeiro et al. (1980b) and acknowledged by Ward (1992). In the authors' opinion, the recent claim by Ramsdale (1998) that hispaniola should be treated as a junior synonym of cinereus appears not to be supported by the available evidence. Also, in contrast to Glick (1992), An. marteri Senevet & Prunnelle is treated as a monotypic species, in accordance with Ribeiro et al. (1985) and Ward (1992), the observed polymorphism being better explained as clinal (Ribeiro et al., 1980b).

Concerning Ae. vittatus (Bigot), it is worth noting, somewhat unexpectedly, that no consistent morphological differences could be found between Portuguese and African populations of this species (Ribeiro et al., 1977-78).

The distinction between Ae. echinus (Edwards) and Ae. geniculatus (Olivier) is also a delicate matter, although the scaling of the scutellum, in both sexes, and a few characters in the male genitalia and larvae are reliably diagnostic (Ramos, 1983-84).

As for Ae. caspius (Pallas), following the description of the subspecies meirai from the Cape Verde Archipelago (Ribeiro et al., 1980a; Gaffigan & Ward, 1985), the form occurring in Portugal has been treated as the nominal subspecies, An. caspius caspius. In the absence of further studies, this is the status recognised for caspius in Portugal. The Ae. detritus (Haliday) complex is keyed out simply as the nominal species, though the sibling species "A" and "B" are already identified in Portugal (Capela, 1986). As expected, Ae. mariae, is the only recorded member of the Ae. mariae complex (Coluzzi & Sabatini, 1968; Knight, 1978). The Ae. punctor (Kirby) complex is represented in Portugal by an apparently relict population of the nominal species, restricted to the highest mountain, at over 1500m (Ribeiro et al., 1983).

It is generally considered that Cx. *pipiens* L. and Cx. *molestus* Forskål are the same species. However they are treated separately in this paper as there is some evidence that they are distinguishable by biological characters in the female and morphological characters in the male genitalia and larva (Janz *et al.*, 1983). As in the case of *Ae. vittatus*, no significant differences were found between Portuguese and African populations of Cx. *univittatus* Theobald (Ribeiro *et al.*, 1977-78). Larvae of Cx. *impudicus* Ficalbi are not always distinguishable with certainty from those of Cx. *territans* Walker (Ramos *et al.*, 1977-78), although the key presented here will separate correctly almost all specimens.

Culiseta subochrea (Edwards) is treated as a valid species, as proposed by Ribeiro et al. (1977) and acknowledged by Ward (1984). Adults, both females and males, are very similar to those of Cs. annulata

(Schrank) in the external morphology but easily separable from Cs. atlantica (Edwards). Larvae of these three species are also very similar, though they can be separated with the keys given by Ramos & Ribeiro (1980), here adapted.

The present keys are based on the study of the mosquito collection of the Unidade de Entomologia Médica, Instituto de Higiene e Medicina Tropical, Lisboa, with more than 20 000 Portuguese specimens collected all over the country. The following works were particularly important references during the elaboration of the keys: Edwards (1921), Marshall (1938), Aitken (1954), Senevet & Andarelli (1956; 1959), Rioux (1958), Hedeen (1958; 1959), Gutsevich *et al.* (1974), Encinas Grandes (1982), Harbach (1985; 1988), Cranston *et al.* (1987), Snow (1990), Glick (1992), Schaffner (1992; 1993), Stojanovich & Scott (1995), Dahl (1997), Darsie & Samanidou-Voyadioglou (1997) and Romi *et al.* (1997).

The general taxonomic treatment adopted is that of Knight & Stone (1977), while the morphological terminology follows Harbach & Knight (1980; 1981).

Key to adults to subfamilies and genera

As only one species of each of the genera *Coquillettidia*, *Orthopodomyia* and *Uranotaenia* are known from the study area, those species are keyed out with the corresponding genera.

- Calypter fringed; anal vein reaching wing margin well beyond base of the fork of cubitus; wing microtrichia visible under low magnifications.
 3
- 6 First tarsomere of foreleg longer than distal four tarsomeres together; fourth tarsomere of foreleg shorter than fifth...........Orthopodomyia pulcripalpis

Key to subgenera, species and subspecies of Anopheles adults

1	Costal vein with, at least, four pale spots (subgenus Cellia)An.	cinereus hispaniola
<u> </u>	Costal vein all dark, without pale spots (subgenus Anopheles)	2

2	Wing with patches of dark scales at the crossveins
	Without dark patches at the crossveins
3	Wing with a yellow spot at apical fringe of wing
	Without yellow spot at apical fringe of wing
4	Head without a pale frontal tuft
_	With a white frontal tuft projecting forward between the eyes

<u>-</u>-

(See also pupal and larval keys to the sibling species of the An. claviger complex)

Key to eggs of the Anopheles maculipennis complex

.. . .

.

1	contrasted with uniformly light ground
—	Float ridges smooth; upper surface either all dark or with a different pattern
2	Upper surface uniformly dark, without pattern
—	Upper surface with a pattern of irregular black patches, with or without transverse bars
3	With a pattern of two transverse bars near the ends of the floats, and a few irregular black patches in the area between the bars; float index about 0.40 or greater
	Pattern not formed into definite transverse bars, but consisting mainly of irregular triangular black spots arising from margins, on a lighter ground; float index 0.34-0.36

Key to pupae of the Anopheles claviger complex

1	Spine-like seta 9-IV of fourth abdominal segment weakly sclerotized, similar to that of segment II An clauser
	Spine-like seta 9-IV heavily sclerotized, similar to that of segment V

Key to subgenera, species and subspecies of Aedes adults

2	Without lower mesepimeral setae; hind ungues simple; male gonocoxite without basal lobes (subgenus Finlaya)
—	With several mesepimeral setae; hind ungues toothed; male gonocoxite with a distinct basal lobe (subgenus Ochlerotatus)
3	Pale thoracic lines golden-yellow; male maxillary palp about 0.66 as long as proboscis (Madeira Islands)
_	Pale thoracic lines creamy-white; male maxillary palp longer, only slightly shorter than proboscis4
4	Scales of scutellum all broad, flat and white; stem of claspette in male about as long as filament, with numerous scattered small setae; claspette filament slightly curved
_	Scutellum with at least a few narrow ochraceous scales, usually more numerous on lateral lobes; stem of claspette in male much longer than filament, with small setae arising from a tubercule; claspette filament strongly curved
5	Hind tarsomeres 1-5 with pale rings
	Hind tarsomeres not ringed
6	Abdominal pale bands broader in middle, usually forming a median dorsal stripe which may reach the distal margin of segments
<u> </u>	Abdominal terga with pale bands at base of the segments only, not broadened in middle
7	Mesonotum with two submedian stripes of creamy-white scales
	Mesonotum without stripes, covered mainly by yellow-brown scales intermingled with whitish scales
8	
8 	
	Ae. mariae Mesonotum with dark-brown and pale markings, the latter sometimes much reduced
	Ae. mariae Mesonotum with dark-brown and pale markings, the latter sometimes much reduced
	Ae. mariae Mesonotum with dark-brown and pale markings, the latter sometimes much reduced
9	Ae. mariae Mesonotum with dark-brown and pale markings, the latter sometimes much reduced
9	Ae. mariae Mesonotum with dark-brown and pale markings, the latter sometimes much reduced
9 10 	Ae. mariae Mesonotum with dark-brown and pale markings, the latter sometimes much reduced
9 10 	Ae. mariae Mesonotum with dark-brown and pale markings, the latter sometimes much reduced

÷-,

Adult key to subgenera, species and subspecies of Culex

1	Proboscis shorter than fore femur; first tarsomere of hind tarsus (Ta1 III) markedly shorter than hind tibia, ratio Ta1 III /hind tibia <0.85 (subgenus Barraudius)Cx. modestus modestus
	Proboscis about as long or longer than fore femur; Ta1III /hindtibia ≥0.86, usually greater
2	Abdominal terga III-VII with apical pale markings
	Abdominal terga III-VII with basal pale markings, though some pale scaling may be present on apical borders (subgenus Culex)
3	Prealar and postspiracular scales present (subgenus Maillotia)4
 .	Without prealar and postspiracular scales (subgenus Neoculex)
4	Abdominal terga III-VII with complete pale bands, broadening in middle; sterna III-VII mainly pale, with quite distinct basolateral dark triangles which may join at the base of the segment; femora and tibiae of mid and hind legs with conspicuous pale spots at their apices
	Terga III-VII dark above, with only small apicolateral pale spots; sterna III-VII mainly dark, with narrow apical pale bands which may be somewhat expanded in middle; apical pale spots of femora and tibiae either absent or inconspicuous (Madeira Islands)
5	Pale bands on abdominal terga always broader at the sides, sometimes interrupted in middle; last abdominal segments of male with numerous, long setae
	Pale bands on terga not broadened at sides, sometimes represented only by a line of pale scales along distal border of the segment; last abdominal segments of male not unusually setose
6	Wings with 3 conspicuous pale areas on the costa and other pale markings on other veinsCx. mimeticus
_	Wings without such pale areas
7	All tibiae with anterior pale stripesCx. theileri
	Fore tibiae, at least, unstriped
8	With 2-4 lower mesepimeral setae; abdominal terga with very broad pale bands not reduced toward sides, that on tergum II occupying half or more of the segment
	Usually, with only one mesepimeral seta; pale bands of abdominal terga narrower, often reduced at sides and even absent on some segments
9	With a small patch of pale scales at extreme base of costa, dorsally; usually at least a few postspiracular scales present; prealar scales always present
	Costa all dark; without postpiracular scales; prealar scales present or absent10
10	Cell R2 more than 4.0 times the length of vein R2+3; in male both ventral and dorsal divisions of aedeagus bent; seta h on apical lobe of gonocoxite narrow, striated and foliform
	Cell R2 less than 4.0 times the length of vein R2+3; in male only ventral division of aedeagus bent, sickle- shaped; seta not foliform

Adult key to subgenera and species of Culiseta

1 Thorax with sharply defined white stripes; tibiae with white stripes; male palps about 0.67 to 0.75 as long

	as proboscis (subgenus Allotheobaldia)Cs. longiareolata
	Thorax without sharply defined white stripes; tibia lacking white stripes; male palps at least as long as proboscis
2	Cross-vein mcu approximated to, usually in line with, rm (subgenus Culiseta)
	Cross-vein mcu well not aligned with rm (subgenus Culicella)
3	Without a longitudinal pale band on tergum II; first tarsomere of hind tarsus not ringed (Açores Archipelago)
	With a longitudinal pale band on tergum II; first tarsomere of hind tarsus with a pale ring at middle (Continent)
4	Wing vein Cu usually entirely dark-scaled (occasionally, with a few pale scales); abdominal terga with pale (largely white) scales often restricted to basal bands and longitudinal band on tergum II; dark wing spots distinct
	Some pale scaling always present on vein Cu; abdominal terga with yellowish scales scattered over dark areas; wing spots less distinct
5	Tarsi with conspicuous pale rings at all joints; male palps surpassing tip of proboscis by at least half length of last palpomere; 3rd and 4th palpomeres densely setose; basal lobe of gonocoxite with 3 or 4 stout setae, none of them reaching to apex of gonocoxite
_	Tarsal rings less distinct on last two joints of fore and mid legs and inconspicuous or absent on hind legs;

Key to subfamilies, genera, subgenera, species and subspecies of larvae

- 2 Inner clypeal setae (2-C) widely separated, about as far apart as from the outer clypeal (3-C); at least one of the long metapleural setae feathered (subgenus Cellia)......An. cinereus hispaniola

3	Antenna smooth; subantennal seta (11-C) very short, with only 2 or 3 branches; frontal setae 5, 6 - and 7- C simple
	Antenna spiculate; seta 11-C nearly as long as antenna, with at least 18 branches; setae 5 -, 6- and 7-C branched
4	Outer clypeal seta dentritic, fanlike
	Outer clypeal seta simple or very slightly branched
5	Leaflets of abdominal palmate setae abruptly narrowed before apex
	Leaflets of abdominal palmate setae uniformly tapering to apex
6	Seta 2-C frayed, with short lateral branches; dorsal plate of abdominal segment VIII slightly larger than distance between palmate setae; seta 0 on abdominal segments IV and V unusually developed, with 4-7 branches; saddle seta (1-X) inserted well within margin of saddle; head capsule with dark transversal bands <i>An. algeriensis</i>
	Setae 2-C simple or bifurcate distally; distance between palmate setae on segment VIII greater than plate; setae 0-IV and 0-V minute, simple or bifid; saddle seta 1-X inserted at the edge or just outside saddle; dark markings of head capsule forming a dotted pattern (An. claviger complex; see also keys to pupae)
7	Ante-palmate setae of segments IV and V (2-IV,V) usually with 4 (3-5) branches of about the same length
	Setae 2-IV, V bifid or with 3 branches, the middle one shorter than the others
8	Abdominal segment VIII with lateral or dorsolateral plates
	Without plates on the abdominal segments
9	Dorsolateral plates present on both abdominal segments VII and VIII; siphon without pecten (genus Orthopodomyia)Or. pulcripalpis
	Abdominal segment VII without plates; siphon with pecten (genus Uranotaenia, subgenus Pseudoficalbia)Ur. unguiculata unguiculata
10	Siphon attenuated, with saw, adapted for piercing plant tissues (genus Coquillettidia)
-	Apex of siphon blunt, without saw, not adapted for piercing
11	Siphon with only one pair of subventral setae or tufts (setae 1-S)
_	Siphon with 3 or more pairs of subventral setae or tufts (1-S) (genus Culex)
12	Siphonal setae inserted near base (genus Culiseta)
	Subventral seta of siphon inserted away from base, at about 0.33 or beyond (genus Aedes)
13	Distal pecten spines long and hair-like (subgenus Culiseta)
	Pecten spines all stout, without modified hair-like elements

<u>-</u>--

7

;

14	Distance between head-setae 4-C obviously greater than distance between setae 5-C (Açores Archipelago)
	Distance between setae 4-C about the same as distance between setae 5-C, or less (Continent)
15	Siphon tuft (1-S) about as long as siphon breadth at base
	Tuft 1-S obviously shorter than breadth of siphon at base
16	Saddle incomplete; pecten with 6-12 widely spaced spines beyond tuft, extending to about 0.75 of siphon; siphonal index less than 2.5 (subgenus Allotheobaldia)
_	Saddle complete; pecten otherwise; siphonal index 3.5 or greater (subgenus Culicella)17
17	Pecten with 2-4 isolated larger spines reaching to about 0.5 of siphon; subapical seta of siphon (2-S) well developed and branched
	Pecten without isolated spines distally, restricted to basal 0.33 of siphon; seta 2-S of siphon inconspicuous and simpleCs. litorea
18	Antennal seta (1-A) simple, occasionally bifid; antenna smooth
_	Seta 1-A double or multiple; antenna spiculate, even if only sparsely so
19	Siphon without acus; head setae 4-, 6-C inserted far forward (subgenus Stegomyia)
	Siphon with acus; head setae 4-, 6-C more posterior (subgenus Ficalbia)
20	Spine at base of the metapleural group of setae 10-12-MT strongly sclerotized, curved and pointed, thorn-like; comb scales with well developed basal denticles each side of central tooth
_	Base of setae 10-12-MT with only small denticles; comb scales with a strong central tooth and several delicate basal denticles
21	Comb of abdominal segment VIII consisting of a large patch of fringed scales (Madeira Archipelago)
	Comb made of one or two irregular rows of scales (Continent)
22	Pecten extending beyond middle of siphon; stellate setae of abdominal segment I obviously longer than the segment, some of them with 5-10 branches
_	Pecten not extending beyond middle of siphon; stellate setae on abdominal segment I about as long as segment, at most 6-branched
23	Antennal seta 1-A with 2-3 branches; antenna sparsely spiculate, usually, not uniformly so
	Seta 1-A with more than 3 branches, usually much more; antenna more or less uniformly spiculate
24	Siphonal index about 2 or less; some of the most distal pecten teeth, at least, more widely spaced; siphon tuft (seta 1-S) inserted before the most distal pecten teeth
—	Siphonal index about 4 or more; only the most distal pecten tooth more widely spaced; 1-S inserted beyond the pecten (Ae. pulcritarsis complex)
25	1-S at about 0.5 of siphon; comb with 9 (6-11) teeth in a single row; siphonal index always under 5
_	1-S at about 0.33; comb teeth usually more numerous, often arranged in an irregular triangular patch; siphonal index from about 3.5 to 7.5

26	One or more distal pecten spines more widely spaced
	More distal pecten spines not obviously wider spaced
27	Siphon with 3 or 4 pairs of simple setae on dorsal aspect
	Siphon with only 1 pair of such setae
28	Comb on segment VIII with 18-28 scales, arranged in a triangular patch
	Comb with 9-12 scales, in irregular single or double row
29	Saddle complete
—	Saddle incomplete
30	All comb scales consisting of spines, with a single median tooth much longer than submedian denticles
	Comb mainly of scales with subequal denticles
31	Comb of abdominal segment VIII made of spines, with a median tooth much longer than basal denticle32
_	Comb composed of fringed scales
32	Antenna with subapical setae (2-, 3-A) arising at 0.33-0.5 the distance between apical setae and antennal shaft seta (1-A)Cx. mimeticus
	Subapical setae 2-,3-A arising adjacent to apical setae of antennaCx. theileri
33	Apicodorsal seta of siphon (2-S) large and hooked (subgenus Maillotia)
	Seta 2-S small and straight, not hooked
34	Siphon with, at most, 11 or 12 subventral tufts; maximum ratio between length of tufts and diameter of siphon at point of attachment about 3 or less (Continent)Cx. hortensis hortensis
	Siphon with 15 or more subventral tufts; such maximum ratio about 4-5.5 (Madeira Islands)Cx. hortensis maderensis
35	Head setae 5- and 6-C with 5 or 6 and 4 branches, respectively; subventral tufts of siphon either simple or with 2-4 branches (subgenus Culex, in part)
	Setae 5-, 6-C usually with fewer branches, but if with 5 or 4 branches, respectively, then tufts 1-S more than 5-branched
36	Saddle setae (1-X) bifidCx. torrentium
	Setae 1-X simple
37	Antenna shorter, length about 475 (465-485) µm; pecten with 12-19 teeth (mean 14.75)Cx. molestus
	Antenna longer, with about 570 (555-585) µm; pecten with 9-14 teeth (mean 9.5)Cx. pipiens
38	Tufts of siphon (setae 1-S) in a ventral zigzag row, the first 2 or 3 tufts arising within pecten; siphon index 3.5-5
	Subventral siphon tufts paired, more basal ones arising or not beyond pecten; siphon index 5.5-940

- 39 Upper caudal seta (3-X) with 2 or 3 branches (subgenus Barraudius)Cx. modestus modestus
- 40 Most pecten spines with 3 or more basal denticles; saddle seta (1-X) with 3 or 4 branches; head seta 5-C usually with 3 or 4 branches, occasionally bifid (subgenus Culex, in part)Cx. univittatus

- -- Tufts 1-S usually under 1.5 times the diameter of siphon, though at times they may be twice this diameter, more distal pecten spines often wider spaced and somewhat irregularly insertedCx. territans

Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, Ministério da Ciência e da Tecnologia, through the Unidade de Parasitologia e Microbiologia Médicas (UPMM). We are grateful to all the researchers, students, technicians and public entities, without whose collaboration the survey of the mosquitoes of our country would not have been possible.

References

- Aitken, T.H.G. (1954) The Culicidae of Sardinia and Corsica (Diptera). Bulletin of entomological Research 45, 437-494.
- Capela, R.A. (1986) Contribuição para o Conhecimento da Sistemática, Fisiologia, Ecologia e Etologia de Duas Espécies Halófilas de Mosquitos (Diptera, Culicidae) de Portugal: Aedes (Ocherotatus) caspius (Pallas, 1771) e Aedes (Ochlerotatus) detritus (Haliday, 1833). Thesis, Faculdade de Ciências de Lisboa, U.L. 252pp.
- Coluzzi, M. & Sabatini, A. (1968). Divergenze Morfologiche e Barriere di Sterilitá nel Complesso Aedes mariae (Diptera, Culicidae). Rivista di Parassitologia 29, 49-70.
- Cranston, P.S., Ramsdale, C.D., Snow, K.R. & White, G.B. (1987) Keys to the adults, male hypopygia, fourthinstar larvae and pupae of the British Mosquitoes (Culicidae) with notes on their ecology and medical importance. Freshwater Biological Association. Scientific Publication 48. 152pp.
- Darsie, R.E. & Samanidou-Voyadjoglou, A. (1997) Keys for the identification of the mosquitoes of Greece. Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association 13, 247-254.
- Dahl, C. (1997) Diptera Culicidae, Mosquitoes. In: Aquatic Insects of northern Europe-A Taxonomic Handbook. 2, 163-186. Ed. Anders N. Nilsson. Apolla Books. Stenstrup. Denmark. 440pp.
- Edwards, F.W. (1921) A revision of the mosquitoes of the Palaearctic Region. Bulletin of entomological Research 12, 263-351.
- Encinas Grandes, A. (1982) Taxonomia y biologia de los mosquitos del area Salmantina (Diptera, Culicidae). Ed. Universidade de Salamanca. 473pp.
- Gaffigan, T.V. & Ward, R.A. (1985) Index to the Second supplement to A catalog of the mosquitoes of the World, with corrections and additions (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 17, 52-63.
- Glick, J. I. (1992) Illustrated key to the female Anopheles of Southeastern Asia and Egypt (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 24, 125-153.
- Gutsevich, A.V., Monchadskii, A.S. & Shtakelberg, A.A. (1974) Fauna of the USSR. Diptera. III (4). Mosquitoes. Family Culicidae. Leningrad. Akademiya Nauk SSSR. Zoologicheskii Institut. New Series 100. 384pp.
- Harbach, R.A. (1985) Pictorial keys to the genera of mosquitoes, subgenera of Culex and the species of Culex (Culex) occurring in southwestern Asia and Egypt, with note on the subgeneric placement of Culex deserticola (Diptera; Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 17, 83-107.
- Harbach, R.A. (1988) The mosquitoes of the subgenus Culex in southwestern Asia and Egypt (Diptera: Culicidae). Contributions of the American Entomological Institute 24. 240pp.
- Harbach, R.E. & Knight, K.L. (1980) Taxonomists' Glossary of Mosquito Anatomy. Baltimore. Biological Research Institute of America. 415pp.

Harbach, R.A. & Knight.K.L. (1981) Corrections and additions to Taxonomist's Glossary of Mosquito Anatomy. Mosquito Systematic 13, 201-231.

Hedeen, R.A. (1958) A review of the mosquito larvae of France I. Genera Culiseta, Mansonia, Orthopodomyia and Uranotaenia. Mosquito News 18, 308-321.

Hedeen, R.A. (1959) A review of the mosquito larvae of France II. The genus Aedes. Mosquito News 19, 179-183.

Janz, J.G., Ribeiro, H., Pires, C.A. & Ramos, H.C. (1983) A discriminant function for the separation of larval Culex molestus Forskål from Culex pipiens L. Jornal Sociedade Ciências Médicas Lisboa 147, 235-238.

Knight, K.L. & Stone, A. (1977) A catalog of the mosquitoes of the World (Diptera, Culicidae). 2nd Edition The Thomas Say Foundation VI. Entomological Society of America Maryland. 611pp.

Knight, K.L. (1978) Supplement to A catalog of the mosquitoes of the World (Diptera, Culicidae). The Thomas Say Foundation. Supplement to VI. Maryland. 107 pp.

Marshall, J.F. (1938) The British Mosquitoes. British Museum (Natural History). London. 341pp.

÷.

Ramos, H.C. (1983-84) Contribuição para o estudo dos mosquitos limnodendrófilos de Portugal (Diptera; Culicidae). Garcia de Orta, Série de Zoologia 11, 133-154.

Ramos, H.C. & Ribeiro, H. (1980) Description of the male, pupa and fourth instar larva of Culiseta atlantica (Edwards, 1932) (Diptera: Culicidae), an endemic species of the Azores Archipelago. Mosquito Systematics 12, 320-329.

Ramos, H.C., Ribeiro, H., Pires, C.A. & Capela, R.A. (1977-78) Research on the mosquitoes of Portugal (Diptera, Culicidae) II. The mosquitoes of Algarve. Anais Instituto Higiene e Medicina Tropical 5, 237-256.

Ramos, H.C., Ribeiro, H., Pires, C.A. & Capela, R.A. (1982) Research on the mosquitoes of Portugal (Diptera, Culicidae) VII. Two new anopheline records. Anais Instituto Higiene e Medicina Tropical 8, 103-109.

Ramsdale, C. D. (1998) Anopheles cinereus Theobald 1901 and its synonym hispaniola Theobald 1903. European Mosquito Bulletin 2, 18-19.

Ribeiro, H., Ramos, H. C., Capela, R.A. & Pires, C.A. (1977) Research on the mosquitoes of Portugal (Diptera, Culicidae) III. Further five new mosquito records. Garcia de Orta, Série de Zoologia 6, 51-60.

Ribeiro, H., Ramos, H.C., Pires, C.A. & Capela, R.A. (1977-1978) Research on the mosquitoes of Portugal I. Four new culicine records. Anais do Instituto de Higiene e Medicina Tropical 5, 203-214.

Ribeiro, H., Ramos, H. C., Capela, R.A. & Pires, C.A. (1980a) Os mosquitos de Cabo Verde (Diptera, Culicidae) Sistemática, Distribuição, Bioecologia e Importância Médica. Estudos, Ensaios e Documentos nº 135, J.I.C.U. Lisboa. 141 pp.

Ribeiro, H., Ramos, H. C., Pires, C.A., & Capela, R.A. (1980b) Research on the mosquitoes of Portugal (Diptera, Culicidae) IV. Two new anopheline records. Garcia de Orta, Série de Zoologia 9, 129-138.

Ribeiro, H., Ramos, H.C., Pires, C.A. & Capela, R.A. (1983) Research on the mosquitoes of Portugal (Diptera, Culicidae) X. A new aedine record: Aedes punctor (Kirby,1837). Anais do Instituto de Higiene e Medicina Tropical 9, 37-40.

Ribeiro, H., Ramos, H. C., Pires, C.A. & Capela, R.A. (1985) Research on the mosquitoes of Portugal (Diptera, Culicidae) IX. A new anopheline record. Garcia de Orta, Série de Zoologia 12, 105-112.

Ribeiro, H., Ramos, H.C., Pires, C.A., & Capela, R.A. (1988) An annotated checklist of the mosquitoes of Continental Portugal (Diptera, Culicidae). Actas III Congresso Ibérico de Entomologia, 233-254.

Rioux, J.A. (1958) Les Culicidés du "Midi" Méditerranéen. Étude systématique et écologique. Paul Lechevalier. Paris. 304pp.

Romi, R., Pontuale, G. & Sabatinelli, G. (1997) Le zanzare Italiane: generalitá e identificazione degli stadi preimaginali (Diptera, Culicidae). Fragmenta entomologica 29, Supplemento, 1-141

Schaffner, F. (1992) Les moustiques de Haute-Alsace. I. Systématique. Thesis, Université Louis Pasteur Strasbourg. 295pp.

Schaffner, F. (1993) Nouvelle clé de détermination pour les imagos femelles du genre Aedes du nord-est de la France (Diptera, Culicidae). Bulletin de la Société entomologique de France 98, 29-34.

Senevet, G. & Andarelli, L. (1956) Les Anophèles de l'Afrique du Nord et du Bassin Méditerranéen. Encyclopédie Entomologique (A), 33. Ed. Paul Lechevalier. Paris. 280pp.

Senevet, G. & Andarelli, L. (1959) Les Moustiques de l'Afrique du Nord et du Bassin Méditerranéen. Les genres Culex, Uranotaenia, Theobaldia, Orthopodomyia et Mansonia. Encyclopédie Entomologique (A) 37. Ed. Paul Lechevalier. Paris. 383 pp.

Snow, K.R. (1990) Mosquitoes. Naturalists' Handbooks 14. Slough. England. 66pp.

Stojanovich, C.J. & Scott, H.G.(1995) Mosquitoes of European Russia. Authors' edition. U.S.A. 106 pp.

Ward, R.A. (1984) Second supplement to A catalog of the mosquitoes of the World (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 16, 227-270.

Ward, R.A. (1992) Third supplement to A catalog of the mosquitoes of the World (Diptera: Culicidae). Mosquito Systematics 24, 177-230.