European Mosquito Bulletin, 13 (2002), 1–7. Journal of the European Mosquito Control Association ISSN1460-6127

## Observations on the taxonomic status of Anopheles subalpinus Hackett & Lewis and An. melanoon Hackett

Yvonne-Marie Linton\*, Lisa Smith and Ralph E. Harbach
Department of Entomology and Biomedical Sciences Theme, The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London
SW7 5BD, England.

\*Corresponding author. Email: Y.Linton@nhm.ac.uk

## Abstract

Progeny broods of An. maculipennis s.l. from Greece were identified as An. subalpinus Hackett & Lewis based on egg morphology and the original species descriptions. DNA sequence data from members of the progeny broods were compared to previously published sequences for members of the An. maculipennis complex. The sequences were 99.54-100% identical to those of An. melanoon Hackett, typified by its uniformly black egg. These results are discussed in relation to those of other workers, and An. subalpinus is formally synonymised with An. melanoon.

#### Introduction

Anopheles maculipennis Meigen, the historical malaria vector in Europe, was exposed as a complex of at least two species on the basis of egg morphology in the early 1920s (Falleroni, 1922; van Thiel, 1923). Following these early works, extensive efforts were made to elucidate all members of the An. maculipennis complex (for reviews see Kitzmiller et al., 1967; White, 1978). Current understanding of the composition of the An. maculipennis complex stems from White (1978), who recognised nine taxa: An. atroparvus van Thiel, An. beklemishevi Stegnii & Kabanova, An. labranchiae Falleroni, An. maculipennis Meigen, An. martinius Shingarev, An. melanoon Hackett (with its variety subalpinus), An. messeae Falleroni, An. sacharovi Favre and An. sicaulti Roubaud. White proposed the suppression of alexandraeschingarevi, lewisi and selengensis and the resurrection of two nominal species (martinius and sicaulti) on the basis of evidence available at that time.

Field and laboratory investigations, utilising integrated morphological, enzyme electrophoresis, crossing-mating and chromosome studies, revealed that An. sicaulti was conspecific with An. labranchiae, and the former name was synonymised with the latter (de Zulueta et al., 1983). The nominal form of subalpinus was regarded as a variety of An. melanoon until Cianchi et al. (1987) showed enzyme evidence for the reproductive isolation of two forms in sympatric populations. Based on this, Ribiero et al. (1988) treated An. subalpinus "as a separate species" and its re-elevation to species status is attributed to these authors (Ward, 1992). Hence, it follows that the An. maculipennis complex currently comprises the following nine species: An. atroparvus, An. beklemishevi, An. labranchiae, An. maculipennis, An. martinius, An. melanoon, An. messeae, An. sacharovi and An. subalpinus. These species are notoriously difficult to distinguish morphologically in the adult and larval stages and, despite differential chromosome and isoenzyme differences, egg morphology remains the golden standard by which the members of the complex are routinely identified. Several authors have provided keys for the differentiation of members of the An. maculipennis complex based on egg characters (Weyer, 1942; Angelucci, 1955; White, 1978; Korvenkontio et al., 1979; Jaenson et al., 1986).

Early reports of mosquitoes in Greece recorded the presence of An. maculipennis (Hackett & Lewis, 1935; Shannon, 1935; Shannon & Hadjinicolaou, 1941) and An. messeae (Pandazis, 1935; Shannon, 1935; Hackett & Missiroli, 1935). Following a morphological study of eggs from Kavala (Macedonia), Hackett & Lewis (1935) confirmed the presence of An. messeae, An. maculipennis (as An. typicus Hackett & Missiroli) and An. subalpinus. The status of An. messeae in early reports is unclear because prior to the description of the egg of An. subalpinus (Hackett & Lewis, 1935), eggs of this species were thought to belong to a variety of An. messeae (Livadas & Sphangos, 1940). Despite earlier suggestions to the contrary, Bates (1940) could not confirm the presence of An. messeae in Greece, and thus, in his 1942 paper, Weyer declared all reports of An. messege in Thrace and Macedonia prior to the recognition of An. subalpinus to be unreliable. Based on the older literature reports, Samanidou-Voyadjoglou & Darsie (1993) and Ramsdale & Snow (2000) suggested that An. messeae might also be present in Greece. The presence of this species in Florina Prefecture of Greece was established beyond doubt by Linton et al. (2001b), who reported sympatric populations of An. messeae and An. maculipennis based on DNA sequence identification. Anopheles maculipennis (as An. typicus), An. subalpinus and An. sacharovi (as An. elutus Favre) have been reported from Ioannina Prefecture, NW Greece (Livadas & Sphangos, 1940) and Macedonia (Shannon & Hadjinicolaou, 1941). Despite many years of mosquito survey by the Rockefeller Foundation and the Greek National Malaria Control Organisation, there is only a single record of An. melanoon in neighbouring Albania (Livadas & Sphangos, 1940), which is the type locality of An. subalpinus (Hackett & Lewis, 1935).

As a consequence of synonymising An. subalpinus with An. melanoon, White (1978) indicated that An. maculipennis, An. sacharovi and An. melanoon were the members of the complex present in Greece. Except for the recent studies of Linton et al. (2001b, 2002a), no studies have been carried out to confirm the identity of the taxa of the An. maculipennis complex present in Greece following the re-elevation of An. subalpinus (Cianchi et al., 1987; Ribeiro et al., 1988). Given the recent incrimination of An. subalpinus as a secondary vector in the Biga Plains, Turkey (Alten et al., 2000) and documented malaria cases in neighbouring Greece in recent years (Linton et al., 2001b), it is important to determine whether An. subalpinus and/or An. melanoon are present in Greece. In studies leading to this report, we used an integrated molecular and morphological approach to investigate the status and distribution of these nominal forms in Greece.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Mosquitoes belonging to the An. maculipennis complex were collected in eight prefectures of Greece, namely Evros, Rodopi and Xanthi in the north-east, Ioannina and Florina in the north-west, Fthiotida and Magnesia in the heart of the country and Lakonia in the south, from July 1997 to August 2001. Larval collections were carried out in all eight prefectures, and resting adults were collected at two sites; in Selino village, Xanthi and in Monastiraki, Alexandropoulis, Evros (Table 1). Females were held for two days before being induced to lay eggs. The progeny broods were then individually link-reared to obtain adults with associated larval and pupal exuviae for integrated molecular and morphological studies. At least ten eggs from each brood were stored in Bouin's solution (BDH, Poole, England) for light and scanning electron microscope studies of the eggs. Mosquitoes belonging to progeny broods were identified on the basis of egg morphology and DNA sequences obtained for the nuclear internal transcribed spacer (ITS2) region. Eggs were identified using the keys of Weyer (1942), Angelucci (1955) and the original descriptions of An. melanoon (Hackett, 1934) and An. subalpinus (Hackett & Lewis, 1935). DNA sequences obtained from wild-caught larvae were identified on the basis of correlation of their ITS2 sequences with those of progeny broods and ITS2 sequences available in GenBank. Similarities with GenBank entries were assessed using FASTA search (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/fasta33/).

DNA was extracted from individual mosquitoes following a phenol-chloroform extraction (Linton et al., 2001a). Amplification of ITS2 was carried out using 5.8SF and 28SR primers (Collins & Paskewitz, 1996) and the PCR conditions outlined by Linton et al. (2001a). Products were cleaned using the QIAgen PCR purification kit (QIAgen Ltd, Sussex, England) and diluted to 1 ng/µl per 200 bp prior to cycle sequencing using the Big Dye Terminator Kit (PE Applied Biosystems, Warrington, England). An ABI 377 automated sequencer (PE Applied Biosystems) was used to read the sequences, and the data were edited and aligned using Sequencher<sup>TM</sup> version 3.1.1 (Genes Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, Michigan) and CLUSTAL X (Thompson et al., 1997). Sequence statistics were computed using MEGA version 2.1 (Kumar et al., 2001). Sequences generated in this study are available in GenBank under the following accession numbers: Evros (AF452389-AF452406), Ioannina (AF469853), Rodopi (AF452407-AF452408) and Xanthi (AF452409-AF452410). The DNA sequence of only one individual per progeny brood was submitted. GenBank ITS2 sequences for members of the An maculipennis complex include: An atroparvus (Z50103; AF504237-AF504248), An. labranchiae (Z50102), An. maculipennis (Z50104; AF455818-AF455820; AF342713-AF342715; AF436065), An. martinius (AJ224329), An. melanoon (AJ224330), An. messeae (AF305556; Z50105; AY050639; AF452699-AF452700; AF504197-AF504236) and An. sacharovi (Z83198). At present there are no publicly available DNA sequences for An. beklemishevi or An. subalpinus.

Link-reared mosquitoes from progeny broods and larval collections serve as voucher specimens for this work, and are retained in the mosquito collection of The Natural History Museum (NHM), London. Template DNA is also preserved at -70°C in the mosquito DNA bank of the Molecular Systematics Laboratory, Department of Entomology, NHM.

## Results

Morphological identification, distribution and bionomics

On the basis of egg morphology, eighteen progeny broods were identified as An. subalpinus. Eggs were grey, mottled and barred, typical of An. subalpinus, and no melanic eggs were recorded. Mothers of the progeny broods were captured resting in goat and sheep stables in Evros (16) and Xanthi (2), where they comprised 69.5% and 3.0% of the total catch of An. maculipennis s.l., respectively. In both locations, the species was found resting together with An. maculipennis and An. sacharovi (Linton et al., unpublished). DNA sequences were obtained for the eighteen mothers, and five additional specimens, reared from wild-caught larvae, which were identified as An. subalpinus by correlation of their DNA sequences with those of the progeny. The species was prominent in the north-eastern prefectures, being collected in Evros (18), Rodopi (2) and Xanthi (2). A single specimen was also collected in the north-western prefecture of Ioannina, where it comprised only 2.3% of the An. maculipennis complex captured there (Table 1). Based

on DNA correlation, larvae were collected in biotic sympatry with *An. maculipennis* in Evros (River Tis Mantheas, Itea and River Erithropotomas, Didymoticho) and in Rodopi (Nesti-Krovilli, Maronia and Loutros village). The species was not found in larval surveys in the prefectures of Florina, Fthiotida, Lakonia or Magnisia.

Intraspecific variation in the ITS2 sequences

No intraspecific variation was found in the sequences obtained from the twenty-three specimens collected in Greece; all exhibited the same ITS2 haplotype (Fig. 1). Previous studies of intraspecific variability in ITS2 sequences for members of the Maculipennis Group have shown it to be negligible for the Nearctic species, An freeborni Aitken and An hermsi Barr & Guptavanij (Porter & Collins, 1991), and three Palaearctic species, An atroparvus, An maculipennis and An messeae (Linton et al., 2001b, 2002a, 2002b). Inclusive of primers (43 bp), the size of the ITS2 fragment was 482 bp and percentage GC content of the whole fragment was 51.1% (25.9% A, 23.9% T, 26.3% C, 23.9% G). This falls within the range of 50-60% previously reported for ITS2 regions in other members of the Maculipennis Group (Porter & Collins, 1991; Marinucci et al., 1999; Proft et al., 1999; Linton et al., 2001b, 2002a, 2002b).

Identification based on ITS2 sequence data

No sequence data for An. subalpinus were generated during previous DNA studies of the An. maculipennis complex (Marinucci et al., 1999; Proft et al., 1999; Linton et al., 2001b, 2002a, 2002b). However, an An. melanoon ITS2 sequence was submitted to GenBank (AJ224330) by Marinucci et al. (1999) and a consensus sequence for this species is available in the published alignment of Proft et al. (1999) (not entered in Genbank). Proft et al. obtained sequences for the ITS2 region from specimens collected in Frosinone, Italy and Evros, Greece, and the ITS2 sequences generated by Marinucci et al. were obtained from specimens collected in Lazio, Italy. In these two studies, specimens of An. melanoon were identified on the basis of egg morphology using the keys of Weyer (1942) and Angelucci (1955), respectively. These keys differentiate An. melanoon from other Palaearctic species of the complex by its uniformly black eggs.

Based on correlation of the ITS2 sequences with those published previously (Marinucci et al., 1999; Proft et al., 1999), the twenty-three specimens identified as An. subalpinus on the basis of egg morphology were identified as An. melanoon (Fig. 1). A FASTA search revealed highest homology with the sequence for An. melanoon submitted to GenBank (AJ224330) by Marinucci et al. and the sequence for this species published by Proft et al. However, the sequences amplified by these authors were slightly shorter than ours. Whereas we sequenced 482 bases, they sequenced only 432 and 479, respectively. As shown in Fig. 1, the 479 bp sequence of Proft et al. amplified from black-egg An. melanoon is identical to the homologous sequence we obtained from specimens derived from subalpinus-type eggs. When the 432 bp sequence of Marinucci et al. is aligned with the 479 bp region, a single A↔T substitution is noted at base 351 (Fig. 1). These alignments show that the ITS2 sequences of specimens derived from subalpinus-type eggs and black melanoon-type eggs share a minimum of 99.54% identity, clearly indicating that these species are conspecific.

## Discussion

The specific status of An. subalpinus has always been questionable. The origins of this taxonomic problem stem from the earliest studies of the An. maculipennis (reviewed by White, 1978). Anopheles messeae was originally described from specimens (now non-extant) collected in the Pontine marshes which previously existed near Rome, Italy (Falleroni, 1926). The species was described as having characteristically dark eggs, with larger floats than An. labranchiae and variable amounts of grey barring on the deck (Falleroni, 1926, translation in Missiroli, 1939). However, at the same time Dutch workers were also applying the name An. messeae to a species of the complex with a more northerly distribution and strongly barred eggs ("Dutch messeae"). Consequently, the name An. melanoon was proposed for the southern species with dark eggs, and it was described from Viareggio, Tuscany, Italy (Hackett, 1934). Another barred-egg form of An. messeae was subsequently described from Albania as An. maculipennis subalpinus (Hackett & Lewis, 1935). Later studies provided genetic and chromosomal evidence for the specific status of An. melanoon and An. messeae and indicated that An. subalpinus represented an alternative egg phenotype of An. melanoon (Frizzi, 1953; Kitzmiller et al., 1967). On the basis of these studies, White (1978) suggested that An. melanoon and An. subalpinus represented varieties of the same species that occurred as pure populations in limited areas, and listed An. subalpinus as a junior synonym of An. melanoon. Stegnii (1981, 1982) noted that there was no evidence to support separate species status for An. melanoon and An. subalpinus, but contrary to White (1978) he considered the former to be a melanic egg form of An. subalpinus, with apparent disregard for the priority of names.

Our data clearly show that mosquitoes reared from barred and mottled eggs identifiable as those of An. subalpinus, and those derived from typical black melanic eggs typical of An. melanoon (Proft et al., 1999; Marinucci et al., 1999) are genetically identical. Melanic eggs have been reported in species of the An. maculipennis complex other than An.

melanoon. Recent studies by M. Coluzzi (mentioned in Ramsdale & Snow, 2000) revealed that An. subalpinus occasionally oviposit batches of dark melanoon-type eggs. Additionally, correlated study of ITS2 sequences and egg morphology carried out on Romanian members of the An. maculipennis complex showed that specimens originating from melanic egg batches were An. atroparvus (G. Nicolescu, R. E. Harbach & Y.-M. Linton, unpublished). Melanic eggs of An. atroparvus were also reported from Britain by Evans (1934). From the DNA data and the reports of melanic egg batches in other species of the An. maculipennis complex, it is apparent that An. subalpinus and An. melanoon represent a single species that has polymorphic eggs.

That An melanoon and An subalpinus are conspecific is further supported by the reports of homosequential polytene chromosomes in these taxa (Frizzi, 1947; Stegnii, 1981) and cross-matings that result in viable, fertile offspring (in Bullini et al., 1980). It is interesting to note that An sicaulti was deemed conspecific with An labranchiae and synonomised with the latter on the basis of the same sort of evidence (de Zulueta et al., 1983). Extensive hybridisation studies were carried out on both Nearctic and Palaearctic members of the Maculipennis Group by Kitzmiller et al. (1967), who stated "there is not enough evidence to consider melanoon as a separate species". Curiously, of all the attempts at phylogenetic reconstruction, using DNA (Marinucci et al., 1999), chromosomes (Kitzmiller et al., 1967; White, 1978; Stegnii, 1981, 1982), cuticular hydrocarbons (Phillips et al., 1990) and hybridisation experiments (Kitzmiller et al., 1967), none have included both An melanoon and An subalpinus in the same study. Irrespective of the method used, or whether the taxon was identified as An melanoon or An subalpinus, the extremely close relationship with An maculipennis, and the relationship of these two taxa with An messeae, is constant (Kitzmiller et al., 1967; Stegnii, 1981, 1982; White 1987; Phillips et al., 1990; Marinucci et al., 1999).

Phylogenetic relationships based on electrophoretic enzyme differentiation of the An maculipennis complex were reported by Cianchi et al. (1987) and later by Bullini et al. (1980) (incorporating original data of Cianchi et al.). These are the only studies known to us that purport to include both An. melanoon and An. subalpinus in the same study, but the identity of An. subalpinus (denoted as "An. sp = subalpinus?") appeared to be uncertain and no indication was given of how the specimens were identified. This is significant, as mentioned earlier, it seems that the results of Cianchi et al. (1987) served as the basis for separate species recognition by Ribeiro et al. (1988). In their study, populations of An melanoon from Massarosa, Italy and the taxa denoted An. sp = subalpinus? from Scutari Lake, Yugoslavia (close to the type locality of subalpinus in Albania) were shown to have distinct enzyme profiles. They stated that the Yugoslav population was similar to Italian populations of An. sp = subalpinus? from Pavia, Rovigo and Ferrara, but also showed similarities to populations of An. messeae from central Europe and Italy (Cianchi et al., 1987). The close genetic relationship of An. melanoon with An. maculipennis is echoed in the results of Cianchi et al. (1987) (shown again in Bullini et al., 1980), as is the basal relationship of An. messeae to this melanoon+maculipennis clade. However contrary to the studies of other authors using An. subalpinus specimens (Kitzmiller et al., 1967; Stegnii, 1982), these authors showed that the taxon "An. sp = subalpinus?" was most closely related to An. messeae, not An. maculipennis Although the populations were clearly distinct, it remains unclear whether the specimens they analysed were An subalpinus, An. messeae or an undiscovered member of the complex.

On the whole of the aforementioned evidence, it is apparent that An. subalpinus and An. melanoon represent a single species that has polymorphic eggs; therefore, An. subalpinus Hackett & Lewis, 1935 is hereby formally placed in synonymy with An. melanoon Hackett, 1934. A fully integrated morphological and molecular study is underway in our laboratory to fully characterise An. melanoon, and provide reliable diagnostic characters to differentiate this species from other members of the An. maculipennis complex.

### Acknowledgements

We thank George Koliopoulos and Athanassios Zounos (Benaki Phytopathological Institute, Athens) for field assistance. We also gratefully acknowledge the financial support of The Systematics Association (to YML) and The Natural History Museum, London (to LS) for the field studies.

# References

Alten, B., Caglar, S.S. & Özel, O. (2000) Malaria and its vectors in Turkey. European Mosquito Bulletin 7, 27-33.

Angelucci, A. (1955) Tavole sinottiche sugli anofelini italiani. ACIS. Monografia degli Annali di Sanità Pubblica, 1.

Bates, M. (1940) The nomeclature and taxonomic status of the mosquitoes of the Anopheles maculipennis complex Annals of the Entomological Society of America 33, 343-356.

Bullini, L., Bianchi Bullini, A.P., Cianchi, R., Sabatini, A. & Coluzzi, M. (1980) Tassonomia biochima del compless Anopheles maculipennis. Parassitologia 22, 290-292.

- Cianchi, R., Sabatini, A., Boccolini, D., Bullini, L. & Coluzzi, M. (1987) Electrophoretic evidence of reproductive isolation between sympatric populations of *Anopheles melanoon* and *An. subalpinus*. Third International Congress on Malaria and Babesiosis, p 1560. International Laveran Foundation, Annecy, France.
- Collins, F.H. & Paskewitz, S.M. (1996) A review of the use of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) to differentiate among cryptic *Anopheles* species. *Insect Molecular Biology* 5, 1-9.
- de Zulueta, J., Ramsdale, C.D., Cianchi, R., Bullini, L. & Coluzzi, M. (1983). Observations on the taxonomic status of *Anopheles sicaulti. Parassitologia* 23, 73-92.
- Evans, A.M. (1934) On the differentiation of *Anopheles* in Great Britain, with special reference to a form occurring on the coast of north Wales. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 28, 131-140.
- Falleroni, D. (1926) Fauna anofelica italiana e suo 'habitat' (paludi, risaie, canali). Metodi di lotta contro la malaria. Rivista di Malariologia 5, 553-59.
- Frizzi, G. (1947) Salivary gland chromsomes of Anopheles. Nature 160, 226-229.
- Frizzi, G. (1953) Etude cytogenetique d'Anopheles maculipennis en Italie. Bulletin of the World Health Organisation 9, 335-344.
- Hackett, L.W. (1934) The present status of our knowledge of the sub-species of Anopheles maculipennis. Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 28, 109-128.
- Hackett, L.W. & Lewis, D.J. (1935) A new variety of *Anopheles maculipennis* and their relation to the distribution of malaria in Europe. *Rivista di Malariologia* 14, 377-383.
- Jaenson, T.G.T., Lokki, J. & Saura, A. (1986) Anopheles (Diptera: Culicidae) and malaria in northern Europe, with special reference to Sweden. Journal of Medical Entomology 23, 68-75.
- Kitzmiller, J.B., Frizzi, G. & Baker, R. (1967) Evolution and speciation within the maculipennis complex of the genus *Anopheles. Genetics of Insect Vectors of Disease* (ed. by J.W. Wright & R. Pal), pp. 151-210. Elsevier Publishing, London.
- Korvenkontio, P., Lokki, J., Saura, A. & Ulmanen, I. (1979) Anopheles maculipennis complex (Diptera: Culicidae) in northern Europe: species diagnosis by egg structure and enzyme polymorphism. Journal of Medical Entomology 16, 169-170.
- Kumar, S., Tamura, K., Jakobsen, I. B. & Nei, M (2001) MEGA2: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis software, Bioinformatics 17, 1244-1245.
- Linton, Y.-M., Harbach, R.E., Chang, M.S., Anthony, T.G. & Matusop, A. (2001a) Morphological and molecular identity of *Anopheles (Cellia) sundaicus* (Diptera: Culicidae), the nominotypical member of a malaria vector species complex in Southeast Asia. *Systematic Entomology* 26, 357-366.
- Linton, Y.-M., Samanidou-Voyadjoglou, A., Smith, L. & Harbach, R.E. (2001b) New occurrence records for *Anopheles maculipennis* and *An. messeae* in northern Greece based on DNA sequence data. *European Mosquito Bulletin* 11, 31-36.
- Linton, Y.-M., Saminidou-Voyadjoglou, A. & Harbach, R.E. (2002a) Ribosomal ITS2 sequence data for *Anopheles maculipennis* and *An. messeae* in northern Greece, with a critical assessment of previously published sequences. *Insect Molecular Biology* 11 (in press).
- Linton, Y.-M., Smith, L. & Harbach, R.E. (2002b) Molecular confirmation of sympatric populations of *Anopheles messeae* and *Anopheles atroparvus* overwintering in Kent, southeast England. *European Mosquito Bulletin*, 13 8-16.
- Livadas, G.A. & Sphangos, J.C. (1940) Malaria in Greece (1930-1940). Vols I and II. Pyrgos Press, Athens.
- Marinucci, M., Romi, R., Mancini, P., Di Luca, M. & Severini, C. (1999) Phylogenetic relationships of seven Palaearctic members of the *maculipennis* complex inferred from ITS2 sequence data. *Insect Molecular Biology* 8, 469-480.
- Missiroli, A. (1939) The varieties of Anopheles maculipennis and the malaria problem in Italy. Proceedings of the 7th International Congress of Entomology 3, 1619-1640.
- Pandazis, G. (1935). La faune de Culicides de Grece. Acta Instituti et Musei Zoologici Universitatis Atheniensis 1, 1-27.
- Phillips, A., Sabatini, A., Milligan, P.J.M., Boccolini, D., Broomfield, G. & Molyneux, D.H. (1990) The *Anopheles maculipennis* complex (Diptera: Culicidae): comparison of the cuticular hydrocarbon profiles determined in adults of five Palaearctic species. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* 80, 459-464.
- Porter, C.H. & Collins, F.H. (1991) Species-diagnostic differences in a ribosomal internal transcribed spacer from the sibling species *Anopheles freeborni* and *Anopheles hermsi* (Diptera: Culicidae). *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 45, 271-279.
- Proft, J., Maier, W.A. & Kampen, H. (1999) Identification of six sibling species of the *Anopheles maculipennis* complex (Diptera: Culicidae) by a polymerase chain reaction assay. *Parasitology Research* 85, 837-843.
- Ramsdale, C. & Snow, K. (2000). Distribution of the genus Anopheles in Europe. European Mosquito Bulletin 7, 1-26.
- Ribeiro, H., Ramos, H.C., Pires, C.A. & Capela, R.A. (1988) An annotated checklist of the mosquitoes of continental Portugal (Diptera, Culicidae). Congreso Ibérico de Entomologia 3, 233-254.

- Samanidou-Voyadjoglou, A. & Darsie, R. F. Jr. (1993) An annotated checklist and bibliography of the mosquitoes of Greece (Diptera: Culicidae). *Mosquito Systematics* 25, 177-185.
- Shannon, R.C. (1935) Malaria studies in Greece: the reaction of anopheline mosquitoes to certain microclimatic factors. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine* 15, 67-81.
- Shannon, R.C. & Hadjinicolaou, J. (1941) Egg production of Greek anophelines in nature. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 34, 300-305.
- Stegnii, V.N. (1981) Genetical foundations of evolution of the malarial mosquitoes *Anopheles maculipennis* complex (Diptera, Culicidae). 1. Chromosomal phylogenetic relations. *Zoologichesky Zhurnal* 60, 69-77.
- Stegnii, V.N. (1982) Genetic adaptation and speciation in sibling species of the Eurasian Maculipennis Complex. Recent Developments in the Genetics of Insect Disease Vectors: a symposium proceedings (ed. by W.W.M. Steiner, W.J. Tabachnick, K.S. Rai and S. Narang), pp. 454-464. Stipes Publishing Company, Champaign, Illinois.
- Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. and Higgins, D.G. (1997) The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Research* 24, 4876-4882.
- van Thiel, P.H. (1927) Sur l'origine des variations de taille de l'Anopheles maculipennis dans les Payes-Bas. Bulletin de la Societé de Pathologie exotique 20, 366-390.
- Ward, R.A. (1992) Third supplement to "A catalogue of the mosquitoes of the world" (Diptera: Culicidae). *Mosquito Systematics* 24, 177-230.
- Weyer, F. (1942) Bestimmungsschlüssel für die Anopheles-Weibehen und -Larven in Europa, Nordafrika und Westasien. Deutsche Tropenmedizinische Zeitschrift 46, 1-35.
- White, G.B. (1978) Systematic reappraisal of the Anopheles maculipennis complex. Mosquito Systematics 10, 13-44.

Table 1. Collection sites in Greece, listing site co-ordinates, collection dates and numbers of specimens sequenced from each site. Resting collections, females used to obtain progeny broods; Larval collections, larvae link-reared to adults.

Prefecture	Exact locality	Co-ordinates	Date	n =
Evros	Monastiraki, Alexandropoulis	40°51'N, 25°53'E	09.VI.01 <sup>R</sup>	16
(NE)	River Tis Mantheas, Itea	40°58'N, 26°05'E	09.VI.01 <sup>L</sup>	1
	River Erithropotomos, Didymoticho	41°21'N, 26°30'E	10.VI.01 <sup>L</sup>	1
Ioannina (NW)	Vella's Springs	39°53'N, 20°36'E	14.VII.99 <sup>L</sup>	Ī
Rodopi	Nesti-Krovilli, Maronia	40°54'N, 25°31'E	08.VI.01 <sup>L</sup>	1
(NE)	Loutros village	40°35'N, 22°24'E	09.VI.01 <sup>L</sup>	1
Xanthi (NE)	Selino village	41°01'N, 25°08'E	08.VI.01 <sup>R</sup>	2

Fig. 1. A 482 bp alignment of the ITS2 sequences of twenty-three mosquitoes derived from An. subalpinus-type egg (labelled subalpinus) and two sequences of An. melanoon from melanic eggs, i.e. Proft et al. (1999) (not entered in GenBank) and the GenBank entry AJ224330 of Marinucci et al. (1999). Note that the sequence Proft et al. is 3 bases shorter in the reverse primer sequence, and the sequence of Marinucci et al. sequence is 50 bases shorter than ours as a result of different primers being used. Amplification primers used in the present study are underlined. Dashes (-) indicate missing data and dots (.) indicate identical bases within the alignment.

subalpinus	1234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345 <u>ATCACTCGGCTCGTGGATCGAT</u> GAAGACCGCAGCTAAATGCGCGTCACAATGTGAACTGCAGGAC
Proft <i>etal</i> AJ224330	
NO224330	
	11111111111111111111111111111111111111
subalpinus	6789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890 ACATGAACACCGATAAGTTGAACGCATATTGCGCATCGTGCGACACAGCTCGATGTACACATTTT
Proft <i>etal</i> AJ224330	
	11111111111111111111111111111111111111
subalpinus	12345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345
Proftetal	
AJ224330	
	11112222222222222222222222222222222222
	67890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890
subalpinus Proft <i>etal</i>	GAGTTTGGAAACACCATCCTTCTCTTGCATTGAAAGCGCAGCGTGTAGCAGCCCCAGGTTTCAAC
AJ224330	
	222222222222222222222222222222222222222
	12345678901234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345
subalpinus Proft <i>etal</i>	TTGCAAAGTGGCCATGGGGCCGACACCTCACCACCATCAGCGTGCTGTTAGCGTGTTCGGCCCA
AJ224330	
	333333333333333333333333333333333333333
	2222333333333444444444555555555556666666667777777778888888888
subalpinus Proft <i>etal</i>	GTTCGGTCATCGTGAGGCGTTACCTATCGGGGAAGCACCCTGTTGCGCGTATCTCATGGTTAC
АJ224330	T
subalpinus	33333333444444444444444444444444444444
Proftetal	
AJ224330	
	444444444444444444444444444 555566666666
	678901234567890123456789012
subalpinus Proft <i>etal</i>	GTGT <u>GACTACCCCCTAAATTTAAGCAT</u>
AJ224330	